M A 303/8

# OPEN UNIVERSITY LIBRARY NOT TO BE TAKEN FROM THE LIBRA

CAMERA SCRIPT ALEXANDRA PALACE, STUDIO "A" RECORDING NO: VTM/6HT/70805 THURSDAY 28TH SEPTEMBER 1972 PROJECT NO: 00525/3024 O.U. REF: A.303/8

PROBLEMS OF PHILOSOPHY - <u>A Third Level Arts Course</u> The Open University

### "PERCEPTION"

DIRECTOR	, RICHARD CALLANAN
PROLUCER'S ASST	FELICITY MCCREADY
FLOOR MANAGER	CHRIS BEDLOE
DESIGNER	DON GILES
GRAPHICS	. BEVERLEY CLARKE
T.M	DON CRASKE
VISION MIXER	GLADYS DAVIES
SOUND SUPERVISOR	MARTIN WARD
CREW	TWO
SENIOR CAMERAMAN	TONY POWELL
EX. PRODUCER	PETER SCROGGS

#### CONTRIBUTORS

Professor Rodney J. Hirst, University of Glasgow. Professor Alan R. White, University of Hull. Professor Godfrey Vesey, Open University.

#### SCHEDULE

Camera Rehearsal	1030-1300
LUNCH	1300 <b></b> 1400
Line-Up	1400 <b>-1</b> 430
RECORDING	1430-1600

### AUTOCUE on Camera 4

- i -

#### Telejector Slides

1. "What is a person really aware of when he looks at an object?" 2. Professor Rodney J. Hirst 3. "What we are directly aware of is a mental representation of the tomato due ultimately to the effects on the eyes of light - reflected from it." "The representations are not just effects -4. they correspond to the external objects and properties that cause them." 15. "Two types of perceiving: (1) Perceiving the external objects i.e. discovering their existence and properties by means of the senses. (2) The direct perceiving or awareness of representations that are caused in us by the external objects." 6. "We perceive an object be being directly aware of mental representations which are caused in us by it." 7. Professor Alan R. White 8, Taking part were Professor Rodney J. Hirst Professor Alan R. White Professor Godfrey Vesey 9. Production Richard Callanan 10. A production for the Open University The Open University BBC-tv (c) The Open University 1972

٠

\_\_\_\_

1

1

CLOCK ON S/B Q PRESENTER S/B RUN VT	(No Opening Credits for Recording - these are to be added as VT Insert at a future date)
	Q PRESENTER/
1. <u>4</u> <u>B</u> MS Vesey 2. <u>VT INSERT 1</u> (Dur)	/ Behind this discussion of
	Perception there are some scientific
	facts which drew our attention to
	the problem in the first place.
	For instance, in visual perception/
	a physical object - a tomato, for
	example - reflects light from a
	source into the observer's eyes,
	this stimulates cells at the back
	of his eyes, an impulse travels
	along his optic nerve to his brain,
	something happens in his brain as
	a result of this, and his seeing
	the tomato is conditional on all
3. <u>4</u> B MS Vesey	this happening./
	Two things to be noted about this are:
	First, the brain process appropriate
	to seeing a tomato may occur in the
	absence of a tomato. There are
	hallucinatory drugs, for instance
	that could bring this about. If he
	takes such drugs the person
	concerned may seem to be seeing a

(TJ.1 Next)

ł

- 1 --

Tomato when in fact he isn't.

Next, the light from the tomato takes time, though only a very short time, to reach the observer's eyes. But suppose he were looking at a distant star. The light might have taken four or five years to reach him, and the star might no longer exist. This is known as the time-lag in perception.

Now these two scientific observations may be thought to give rise to some questions:

What is the person who seems to be seeing a tomato in the <u>absence</u> of a tomato, aware of? And if we say that what he is aware of is not a tomato, but an 'image', or 'mental picture' of a tomato, should we not say this in the case in which there <u>is</u> a tomato, also? (There need be no difference in the person's experience between the case where there <u>is</u>, and where there is <u>not</u>, a real tomato).

Then with regard to the time-lag, how can a person be said to see a star which no longer exists?

2 .

(TJ.1 Next)

(Shot 3 on 4)

If we say that what he is aware of is a 'star-image' should we not say that what a person is aware of when he looks at a tomato is a 'tomato-image'? (The difference, in time of lighttravel from the object to the eye, is only one of degree).

So, briefly the problem we're discussing here is what we mean by "seeing" or to put it in question form: "What is a person really aware of when he looks at an object?"

TJ.1. "What is a person really aware of when he looks at an object?"

4.

5. <u>4</u> Vesev

6. 3-shot Now one answer to this question is given by a Philosophical Theory called the Representative Theory of Perception

And to discuss that/ theory we have favouring White someone who holds it, or a version of it, Professor Rodney Hirst of the University of Glasgow, and someone who doesn't hold it, in any shape or form, Professor Alan White of the University of Hull. I think we'd better <u>/S/B VT/</u> begin with Professor Hirst stating the theory./ HTRST The Representative Theory seeks to

3 -

(VT Insert Next)

7.

3 -

(Shot 7 on 4)

S/I <u>TJ.2.</u> <u>TJ.5ERT 2</u> <u>TJ.5ERT 2</u> <u>TJ.5ERT 2</u> <u>TJ.2.</u> <u>TJ.2.</u> <u>TJ.5ERT 2</u> <u>TJ.5E</u>

#### PAUSE

What we call seeing a tomato is thus <u>A an indirect thing:</u>/ what we are <u>MS Hirst</u> directly aware of is a mental representation of the tomato due ultimately to the effects on the

10. <u>TJ.3.</u> "What we are directly aware of is a mental representation of the tomato due ultimately to the effects on the eyes of light-reflected from it"

11. <u>4 B</u> MS Hirst

9.

PAUSE

eyes of light-reflected from it./

This point has often been put crudely and misleadingly. It is said 'we don't see external objects but only mental ideas of them'. But this is silly since the aim of the Theory is to explain how we perceive external objects. Bertrand Russel said 'The observer who seems to himself to be observing a stone is really observing the effects of the stone on himself'.

- 4 -

(Shot 11 on 4)

But the point is that the representations are not just effects - they correspond to the external objects and properties that cause them./

12. TJ.4

"The representations are not just effects - they correspond to the external objects and properties that cause them.

(The representations are not just effects - they correspond to the external objects and properties that cause them)

13. <u>4 B</u> / They correspond, for instance, in MS Hirst respect of shape - though the correspondence may not be exact. It is because the representations represent or correspond to their causes that perceiving them enables us to perceive the world.

> Another crude way of putting the theory is to say that the representations are like maps of the surrounding countryside. But this is misleading for we see maps and the countryside in exactly the same way, with our eyes. But eyes are not involved in the mental seeing of representations any more than in seeing things in dreams.

(TJ.5 Next)

- 5 -

14.	<u>TJ.5.</u>	One can put this by distinguishing/
"Two types of Perceiving (1) Perceiving (2) The direct	two types of perceiving:	
	<b>~</b>	<ul> <li>(1) Perceiving the external objects <ol> <li>i.e. discovering their existence</li> <li>and properties by means of the</li> <li>senses.</li> </ol> </li> <li>(2) The direct perceiving or awareness</li> </ul>
		of representations that are caused in 'xs by the external objects.
		The second mode of perceiving is the
	means to the first. But it is safer to	
		keep 'perceive' for (1) and use another
		term, such as 'direct awareness' for
		(2)
		Thus/

6 -

15. <u>TJ.6.</u> We perceive an object by being directly aware of mental representations which are caused in us by it

ł

L

We perceive an object by being directly aware of mental representations which are caused in us by it.

16. <u>4 B</u> MS Hirst / The theory thus stated can deal readily with various problems of perception. The time-lag is easy - we see the star now although it may have disintegrated four years ago, because we are directly aware now of a mental representation of it caused by light which has taken five years to reach our eyes.

- 6 -

Again, hallucinatory drugs may give rise to the same representation and mental awareness of things as in normal perception.

So I want to say, with holders of the Representative Theory, that the causal processes in perception, and phenomena like time-lag, halluciantions, and so on, can only be understood by supposing direct mental awareness of representations in perceiving.

17. <u>3 A</u>/Thank you. Now then, Alan. <u>3-Shot</u> White/Hirst/Vesey

ZOOM TO WHITE

S/I

TJ.7. "Professor Alan R. White"

AS DIRECTED DISCUSSION

- 7 -

(ON AS DIRECETED)

## END CREDITS

TJ.8.

Taking part were Professor Rodney J. Hirst Professor Alan R. White Professor Godfrey Vesey

T/0

TJ.9.

Production Richard Callanan

т/о

TJ.10

A production for the Open University BBC tv

(c) The Open University 1972

## FADE SOUND AND VISION

- 8 -